

**JUDGE BUCHWALD**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK-----X  
CHANGBAI SHIPPING CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

BELARUSIAN SHIPPING CO. a/k/a BELORUSSIAN  
SHIPPING CO. a/k/a ZAO BELORUSSKAYA  
SUDOHODNAYA KOMPANIYA, BEARBULK  
(UK) LIMITED, and TRASER ALLIANCE LTD.,Defendants.  
-----X

08 CV \_\_\_\_\_

**USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED**

DOC #: \_\_\_\_\_

**ORDER FOR ISSUANCE  
OF PROCESS OF  
MARITIME ATTACHMENT****DATE FILED:** 9/2/08

**NOW**, upon reading the Verified Complaint for issuance of Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment, and the Declarations of Andrew J. Warner, Esq., and the Court finding that the conditions for an action under Supplemental Admiralty Rule B of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure appear to exist, it is this 21 day of ~~August~~ <sup>September</sup>, 2008, by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York,

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall issue Process of Maritime Attachment and garnishment as prayed for in the Verified Complaint; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall issue process of attachment against all assets, accounts, freights, hire payments, monies, charter hire, credits, effects, CHIPS credits, electronic fund transfers, payments for bunkers, goods or services, bills of lading, cargo, debts and the like belonging to or claimed by Defendants BELARUSIAN SHIPPING CO. a/k/a BELORUSSIAN SHIPPING CO. a/k/a ZAO BELORUSSKAYA SUDOHODNAYA KOMPANIYA, BEARBULK (UK) LIMITED, and TRASER ALLIANCE LTD., which are in the possession or control of ABN Amro Bank, American Express Bank, Ltd., Bank of America, Bank of New York

Mellon, Barclays Bank, Citibank NA, Deutsche Bank, HSBC (USA), JP Morgan Chase Bank, Standard Chartered Bank, UBS AG, Wachovia Bank, Clearing House Interbank Payment System (CHIPS), or any other garnishee within this district, to the amount sued for, **US \$4,045,407.13**, inclusive of interest and costs, it is further

**ORDERED** that any person claiming an interest in the property attached or garnished pursuant to said Order shall, upon application to the Court, be entitled to a prompt hearing at which the plaintiff shall be required to show why the attachment and garnishment should not be vacated or other relief granted, and it is further

**ORDERED** that a copy of this Order be attached to and served with the said Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment, and it is further

**ORDERED** that, pursuant to Rule 4(c)(1), the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment and Verified Complaint may be served by any associate, agent or paralegal of Chalos, O'Connor & Duffy, LLP, who is not less than 18 years old, and who is not a party to this action, in addition to the United States Marshall, upon garnishee(s) listed in the Verified Complaint, together with any other garnishee(s) who, based upon information developed subsequent hereto by the Plaintiff, may hold assets for or on account of Defendants, and it is further

**ORDERED** that service on any garnishee(s) (i.e. any original garnishee or any garnishee hereafter) is deemed to be effective and continuous service throughout the remainder of the day upon which such service is made commencing from the time of such service through the opening of the garnishee's business the next business day, and it is further

**ORDERED** that following initial service upon any garnishee by the United States Marshal or any other designated by Order to make service in this action, supplemental service of the Process

of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment shall thereafter be made by way of facsimile transmission or other verifiable electronic means, including e-mail, to each garnishee so personally served, <sup>if the garnishee consents to such alternative service.</sup> and it is further

uMB


**ORDERED** that supplemental process enforcing this Order may be issued by the Clerk and served without further Order of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York

~~August 2~~, 2008

September

SO ORDERED:

  
U.S.D.J.

